



STELLENBOSCH
STELLENBOSCH • PNIEL • FRANSCHHOEK

MUNICIPALITY • UMASIPALA • MUNISIPALITEIT

Ref: 3/4/3/5/3/4

2020-09-03

MINUTES

**YOUTH, SPORTS AND CULTURE
COMMITTEE MEETING**

2020-09-03 AT 10:00

MINUTES
YOUTH, SPORT AND CULTURE
COMMITTEE MEETING
2020-09-03
TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
1.	OPENING AND WELCOME	
2.1	COMMUNICATION BY THE CHAIRPERSON	
2.2	DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS	
3.	APPLICATION FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	
4.1	REPORT/S BY THE DIRECTOR: COMMUNITY AND PROTECTION SERVICES RE OUTSTANDING RESOLUTIONS TAKEN AT PREVIOUS MEETINGS	
	NONE	
4.2	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	
4.2.1	CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES: YOUTH, SPORT AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-02-12	2
4.2.2	CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES: YOUTH, SPORT AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-08-05	2
5.	REPORTS FROM YOUTH, SPORT AND CULTURE	
5.1	NON-DELEGATED MATTERS	
5.1.1	UNIVERSAL ACCESS POLICY REVIEW	3
5.2	DELEGATED MATTERS	
5.2.1	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MONTHLY REPORT: JULY 2020 (APPENDIX 1)	17
5.2.2	MONTHLY REPORT: SPORT: FEBRUARY 2020 (APPENDIX 1)	18
5.2.3	MONTHLY REPRT: HALLS: FEBRUARY 2020 (APPENDIX 1)	19
5.2.4	MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: JANUARY 2020 (APPENDIX 1)	20
5.2.5	MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: FEBRUARY 2020 (APPENDIX 1)	21
5.2.6	MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: MARCH 2020 (APPENDIX 1)	22
5.2.7	MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: APRIL 2020 (APPENDIX 1)	23
7.	REPORTS SUBMITTED BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER	
	NONE	
8.	NOTICES OF MOTIONS AND NOTICES OF QUESTIONS RECEIVED BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER	
	NONE	
9.	CONSIDERATION OF URGENT MATTERS	
10.	MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED IN-COMMITTEE	
	NONE	

PRESENT Cllr MM Pietersen [Chairperson]

COUNCILLORS D Hendrickse
R Du Toit (Ms)
N Sinkinya (Ms)
E Vermeulen (Ms)

OFFICIALS Director: Community & Protection Services (G Boshoff)
Manager: Community Development (Ms M Aalbers)
Manager: Libraries (Ms S Majudith)
Senior Admin Officer (Ms T Samuels)

1.	OPENING AND WELCOME
-----------	----------------------------

The Chairperson, Cllr MM Pietersen welcomed all present at the virtual meeting of the Youth, Sport and Culture Committee.

2.1	COMMUNICATION BY THE CHAIRPERSON	(3/4/3/6)
------------	---	------------------

NONE

2.2	DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS	(3/6/2/2)
------------	--------------------------------	------------------

NONE

3.	APPLICATION FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE
-----------	---

NONE

4.1	REPORT/S BY THE DIRECTOR: COMMUNITY AND PROTECTIONS SERVICES RE OUTSTANDING RESOLUTIONS TAKEN AT PREVIOUS MEETINGS	(3/4/3/5/2/2)
-----	---	----------------------

Cllr DA Hendrickse requested information on the status of the reviewed Sport Facility Management Plan and the Chairperson responded that the report is still with the Executive Mayor. On a question by Cllr DA Hendrickse if the Mayor will deal with the matter under her powers, or if the report will be submitted to this Committee or Council, the Chairperson responded that he will follow up with the Executive Mayor and report back in this regard.

4.2	CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES	(3/4/3/5/2/4)
-----	------------------------------------	----------------------

4.2.1	CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES: YOUTH, SPORT AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-02-12	(3/4/3/5/2/4)
-------	--	----------------------

The minutes of the Youth, Sport and Culture Committee Meeting held on 2020-02-12 is **confirmed as correct**.

4.2.2	CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES: YOUTH, SPORT AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-08-05	(3/4/3/5/2/4)
-------	--	----------------------

The minutes of the Youth, Sport and Culture Committee Meeting held on 2020-08-05 is **confirmed as correct**.

5.	YOUTH, SPORT AND CULTURE: [PC: CLLR MM PIETERSEN]
5.1	NON-DELEGATED MATTERS
5.1.1	UNIVERSAL ACCESS POLICY REVIEW

Collaborator No:

IDP KPA Ref No: Dignified Living: Municipal Focus Area 21

Meeting Date: 3 September 2020

1. SUBJECT: UNIVERSAL ACCESS POLICY REVIEW**2. PURPOSE**

To obtain Council approval for the Universal Access Policy Review.

3. DELEGATED AUTHORITY**FOR DECISION BY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL****4. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Council approved the Universal Access Policy in April 2016. The first review includes progress evaluation of policy implementation and the introduction of the White Paper on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

that a review of the Universal Access Policy be approved.

6. DISCUSSION / CONTENTS**6.1 Background**

Stellenbosch Municipality was the first local authority to approve an Universal Access Policy. Since the approval of the policy efforts towards implementation has been made and the review intend to highlight successes achieved, to identify gaps and to recommend changes to assist with implementation.

6.2 Discussion

The approval of the Universal Access Policy requires an attitude change amongst the administration and political sphere of the municipality. Part of the required change is the elimination of the thinking that the policy only relates to persons with disability.

The intent of the policy is to ensure that all departments take into consideration the differences between the citizens when planning and implementing services. This include elderly persons, persons with physical and mental disabilities, mothers with small children, the different educational levels and languages of

our communities and persons with temporary mobility issues. It thus cannot be a policy for implementation by one department, but should guide all departments to think about the services they render and how they can contribute towards an obstacle free environment to ensure citizens can interact not only with the municipality but also with each other freely.

The review of the policy focus on:

- (a) A revision of what has been achieved and what have not been achieved.
- (b) Legislative changes
- (c) Reviewing the objectives of the policy and its alignment with clear performance indicators.
- (d) A brief view on possible reasons as to why the policy is not achieving the objectives.

A. POLICY IMPLEMENTATION HIGHLIGHTS AND GAPS:

Most of the changes resulting from the policy is visible through the services of the Infrastructure Services Directorate and in particular the Roads and Storm Water Department. Corporate Services has also made changes in its approach to maintenance and new facilities. Mostly when doing maintenance where the public requires access or it is demanded through Occupational Health and Safety. The following is examples of completed works:





Project Description: Handrails, access ramps, accessible toilets, Disability Parking Bays

Location: Municipal Court, Cloetesville Ward Office, Stellenbosch Traffic Dept, Stellenbosch Pay point, Franschhoek Admin Building, Plein Street Library, Plein Street Admin Building, Stellenbosch Town Hall



Project Description: Sidewalks and dropped curbs, raised pedestrian crossing, tactile paving, audible tactile buttons at pedestrian crossing

Location: Tarentaal Road, Plein Street, Dorp Street, R44, R310, Martinson Road, C/o Andringa and Crozier Street.

Sidewalk Implementation

- During the 2015/2016 financial year, the implementation of Non-Motorized Projects and the construction of 5,7km of sidewalks/cycle paths were embarked upon. Sidewalks along main routes from neighbouring residential areas were constructed to complete links to the Stellenbosch CBD.
- During the 2016/2017 financial year the Provincial Government granted Stellenbosch Municipality R4M for the development of NMT facilities. This money was used to supplement the municipality's own budgets. Sidewalks were constructed in Idasvalley, Cloetesville, Klapmuts and Stellenbosch CBD. Approximately 3,2km's of sidewalks were constructed.
- During the 2017/18 financial year, sidewalks have been constructed in various residential areas, namely Groendal, Uniepark, Mostertsdrift, Stellenbosch CBD, Idasvalley and Cloetesville. Approximately 2,4 km's of sidewalks were constructed.
- Extract 2018/2019 Draft Annual Report: During the 2018/19 financial year, sidewalks have been constructed in the following residential areas, namely Stellenbosch CDB, Franschoek, Paradyskloof, Raithby, Wemmershoek, Pniel, Kylemore, Jamestown. The Municipality will continue with its annual programme to expand the NMT network. Approximately 2,4 km's of sidewalks were constructed.

All Municipal traffic signals and signalized pedestrian crossings have been equipped with accessible push button controls, that provides audible information on safe road crossing.

Engineering Services has appointed a consultant to carry out a detailed inventory of our sidewalk infrastructure and UA infrastructure with the intention of making the information available on a Geographic Information System.

The municipality however would still benefit from a single reporting platform where all contributions towards achieving UA can be logged such as the performance scorecards of the directors.

Community participation is encouraged through work done by the Community Development Department including quarterly networking through the Stellenbosch Disability Network and the annual Walk with Disability Campaign. These initiatives include a memorandum handed to the municipality expressing the need of the community with accessible transport as their number one priority.



Infrastructure Services established the Mobility Forum and the Non-Motorised Transport Working Group. The Mobility Forum looks at mobility in and around Stellenbosch holistically, whilst the Working Group focus specifically on mobility of a non-motorised nature. Both these structures have representation from various sectors of the community that will benefit from Universal Access.

The recent re-activation of the Stellenbosch Municipality Facebook page and Twitter Account (2018) and complaints platform through whatsapp (2019) has provided easy access to information to all citizens. The value of access to information through these platforms must not be underestimated and can be considered of more value than a formal website as it is easier for citizens to interact with.

The primary measurement for success in terms of policy implementation would be whether the municipality is making it easier for the community to interact with the municipality on issues of concern, but also for normal interaction relating to paying accounts and submitting building plans as an example.

The following are examples of the most prevalent issues where institutional changes have not yet been made and where serious consideration should be given to:

- (a) Public Meetings and Notices: IDP meetings are still held in inaccessible venues and transport for citizens to these meetings and municipal events such as The Festival of Lights is not accessible. Placing notices only in formal newspapers and on the municipal website can no longer be deemed enough. The use of the municipal facebook page can contribute if all departments make use of this platform in addition to legislative communication requirements.
- (b) Planning applications: Applications for these services are not available purely electronically and requires a person to physically come to the municipality to submit applications. The application submissions can only be made during certain times of the day and requires an additional trip to the municipality should you have missed the office hours (8:30-13:30). The Planning and Economic Development Directorate is however in the process of implementing BPAMS and TPAMS which will allow 100% remote applications and

hopes to have these implemented by March 2020. It however requires a very close relationship with ICT services to ensure that the municipal ICT infrastructure is equipped to deal with the electronic requirements of the planning applications, but also any other electronic system for interaction between the public and the municipality. The current ICT upgrades thus contributes to achieving universal access when interacting with the municipality.

- (c) Accessible public transport: In order to promote access to economic opportunities, the municipality must strive to work towards accessible transport for persons with disabilities, but also for all citizens in low socio-economic circumstances. This need is expressed in the annual memorandum handed to the municipality by the disability sector and requires specific attention.
- (d) Seamless non-motorised mobility in and around town centres and adjacent communities. Examples include mobility between the university campus and Stellenbosch town, mobility within communities like Cloetesville, Kayamandi, etc for citizens with mobility issues to reach public transport and other amenities.
- (e) Integrated planning and recording of efforts in relation to the implementation of the Universal Access Policy.
- (f) Equal access to leisure and sport facilities for persons with disabilities.
- (g) Business application still require physical interaction with the municipality between at least three different departments requiring access to parking in the centre of town.

B. LEGISLATIVE CHANGES:

The **White Paper on the Rights of Persons with Disability (WPRPD)** was not included in the policy as it was gazetted during the finalization of the Universal Access Policy. It is important to note that the WPRPD does not introduce a policy shift. Nor does it replace any sector specific policies on disability. It reiterates that the primary responsibility for disability equity lies with national, provincial and local government; and other sectors of society but also allocates responsibilities to persons with disabilities and their families. The vision of the WPRPD is the creation of a free and just society inclusive of all persons with disabilities as equal citizens.

Although the focus of the White Paper is on persons with disability, for the purpose of the review of the Universal Access (UA) Policy, it is important to remind oneself that what is applicable to persons with disability is also applicable to elderly persons, mothers with children and persons with mobility issues even if it is temporary in nature and thus will guide the UA Policy.

The strategic pillars of the WPRPD for realising the rights of persons with disabilities provide guidance and specific required actions that has bearing on the Universal Access policy. The following pillars is specifically

linked to the role and responsibility of local government with specific targets set in the implementation matrix of The White Paper.

PILLAR 1: REMOVING BARRIERS TO ACCESS AND PARTICIPATION

The creation of barrier-free environments requires collective and concurrent actions. Accessibility lies at the heart of the right to human dignity – being able to live as an equal resident in one’s community, being accorded respect for your personal space, having the right to equal opportunities and negotiating one’s life unhindered by manmade barriers. A number of articles in the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) requires State Parties to take appropriate measures to ensure that persons with disabilities are able to access, on an equal basis with others, the physical environment, transportation, information and communications as well as other facilities and services open or provided to the public, both urban and in rural areas. These include:

Art 9: Accessibility

Art 11: Situations of risk and humanitarian emergencies

Art 20: Personal Mobility

Art 21: Freedom of Expression and opinion and access to information

Art 30: Participation in cultural life, recreation, leisure and sport

The following six dimensions have to be addressed in order to remove barriers to access and participation: Detail and guidelines on how to address the dimensions can be found as:

- Changing attitudes and behaviour
- Access to the built environment
- Access to transport
- Access to information and communication
- Universal design and access, and
- Reasonable accommodation measures

“One of the greatest hurdles disabled people face when trying to access mainstream programmes are negative attitudes. It is these attitudes that lead to the social exclusion and marginalisation of persons with disabilities.”

INDS, 1997

The thought that we have to spend taxpayer’s money on taxpayers and that we might have to serve them differently to the way we have in the past seems unbearable to the public servant.

PILLAR 3: SUPPORTING SUSTAINABLE INTEGRATED COMMUNITY LIFE

Persons with disabilities have an equal right to live in the community, with choices equal to others. This requires that government, across all three spheres, take effective and appropriate measures to facilitate full enjoyment by persons with disabilities of this right and their full inclusion and participation in the community.

Women and girls tend to carry the responsibility of caring and providing for children with disabilities and adults with severe disabilities where personal assistance and accessible child-care facilities are not available, making them less likely to develop careers, find partners and access training and educational opportunities.

This pillar particularly refers to the right of persons to be included in all forms of community life through equal choice – being able to use the same amenities, transport, participate in community activities (IDP meetings and community celebrations).

The focus areas are:

- Building socially cohesive communities and neighbourhoods
- Building supporting families
- Accessible human settlements (close to work, transport, schools)
- Access to community based services supporting independent living
- Protection during situations of risk and disaster

PILLAR 6: STRENGTHENING THE REPRESENTATIVE VOICE OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

Being able to take decisions that affect one's standard of living – where you go to school, where you live, with whom you live, who you form relationships with, where you work and what work you do – is an unknown concept for many persons with disabilities. The principle of self-representation is therefore paramount in ensuring an adequate standard of living.

Focus areas include:

- Strengthening access and participation through self-representation
- Recognitions of representative organisations of persons with disabilities
- Strengthening the diversity and capacity of DPO's and self-advocacy programmes
- Public participation and consultation, and
- Self-representation in public life

PILLAR 7: BUILDING A DISABILITY EQUITABLE STATE MACHINERY

“Disability must be integrated into all facets of planning, recognising that there is no one-size-fits-all-approach”

National Development Plan, 2012

Efficient, effective and development-orientated state machinery that delivers services in an equitable manner is an essential element of a capable and developmental state that pursues a “substantive” rather than “formal” approach to equality, in other words, that the circumstances of people are taken into account and focus is on ensuring equality of outcomes. The state machinery includes the legislative, executive across all three spheres of government.

Focus areas include:

Disability must be mainstreamed across the following five focus areas:

- Disability equitable planning, budgeting and service delivery
- Disability equitable evidence information policy and programme development
- Public procurement and regulation
- Capacity building and training
- Strengthening accountability

PILLAR 9: MONITORING AND EVALUATION

The monitoring process involves collecting, analysing, and reporting data on inputs, activities, outputs, outcomes and impacts as well as external factors, in a way that supports effective management of implementation of the WPRPD.

Government performance information will triangulate with the tracking of statistical and financial information and citizen’s voices.

Programmatic Performance: Performances focuses on the interventions that ensure that outcomes are being met. The data stream will ensure there is evidence that persons with disabilities are considered and integrated into government programmes and policies. This stream will encompass a largely quantitative evidence base. The data for this stream will be primarily sourced from government’s existing performance monitoring frameworks.

C. REVIEW OF POLICY OBJECTIVES

The spirit of the Universal Access Policy is one of constant improvement. This, however, becomes difficult to measure in the absence of specific objectives per department and the lack of understanding that Universal Access do not only apply to persons with disabilities. Although the WPRPD has major impact on a policy of this nature, it should not confuse the policy with one aimed at persons with disabilities and thus the responsibility of a few within the organisation.

Further criticism on the policy is the fact that the current policy objectives are difficult to measure and is not linked to specific responsible sections within the municipality. The policy should comprise of a clear goal with measurable outcomes that will also assist in reporting on the progress made with implementation of the WPRPD.

This policy review will thus include a re-look at the intent of the municipality and aim to simplify the content in order for different departments to understand their role within the implementation of the policy.

The objectives of the policy are thus explained in the policy in alignment with the pillars and policy directives of the WPRPD.

D. POSSIBLE FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO NON-IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UA POLICY

Below are some contributing factors. The list is not exhaustive.

- (a) **Understanding universal access concepts:** Sections of the policy is devoted to explaining what universal access and universal design is. Officials not working with these concepts on a daily basis, may find it confusing. It is suggested that these sections be referred to in the policy, but that it then be added to the policy in the form of an annexure.
- (b) **Misconceptions:** There are a couple of misconceptions within the organisation that could contribute to non-implementation. One is that officials do not see it as part of their responsibility, but that it is the responsibility of the owner of the policy. Elevation of the policy to the level of the municipal manager and directors can assist with implementation through a shared responsibility and can also assist with integrated planning and reporting on progress. A second misconception is that officials relate universal access to disability access. This is clear through the fact that when asked, examples of physical mobility are cited as examples of policy implementation. In this sense a department like the IDP department or municipal communication will struggle to connect their function to access. Font size and colour or accessible venues are not in the mind of departments as contributions towards universal access policy implementation. A third misconception is that for universal access implementation there is one answer. This could not be further from the truth. In understanding the principles of universal design, one can creatively think about how to do the normal things with persons who function differently in mind.
- (c) **Budgetary considerations:** The current approach to policy implementation is through normal departmental annual budgeting. This contributes towards departments finding it difficult to report on UA implementation projects and budgets spent towards it.

The municipal priority determined with the Integrated Development Plan guiding budget allocations is focussed on safety and security matters (with the focus on security) causing budgeting for accessibility projects to fall by the wayside. The cost involved in retro-fitting and approval of additional expenditure becomes difficult for the maintenance department and it is often considered to rather obtain approval for basic work to be done.

Council should consider whether ring-fencing funds in each department for the implementation of the Universal Access Policy will accelerate policy implementation.

- a) **Trying to be everything to everybody:** To be able to proof that efforts have been made across the municipal area, projects are implemented piece-meal without a clear indication of where we are starting and where we want to go to. Projects are also often done in reaction to community outcry and is thus not pro-active in nature. An integrated plan (especially with engineering and maintenance services) that clearly indicate the beginning and end result over a number of years will assist with measurable milestones.
- b) **The role of persons with disability representation on municipal platforms:** The Stellenbosch Disability Network represent all DPO's in and around Stellenbosch. They have taken it upon themselves to interact with the municipality on different platforms:
 - a. Representation at IDP meetings
 - b. Representation at the Mobility Forum
 - c. Representation at the NMT Working Group
 - d. Annual Walk with Disability to raise awareness and communicate with the municipality through a memorandum.

One has to ask – with all their efforts, why are they not being heard? Are there competing agendas between representatives at these forums?

- c) **Implementation Priorities:** During the development of the policy and the workshops conducted with councillors and officials certain implementation priorities were identified and agreed upon. These priorities should form part of the budget prioritization process and could be better understood if incorporated into the objectives of the policy.
- d) **Institutional Obstacles:** Lack of integrated planning: Line departments are implementing programmes without consulting each other. This often lead to the municipality being responsible not only for non-implementation of the UA Policy, but also for contributing towards making the current situation worse.

Outdoor Dining Policy: It seems as if there is uncertainty regarding the rules relating to the use of pedestrian walk ways for use as outdoor dining area. Whether this is the result of a lack of a policy to provide guidelines or because of no enforcement, is not sure.

The result is however that persons with mobility issues cannot use pedestrian areas safely.



Whether the above examples are legal or not, has no bearing on the fact that it prohibits persons with limited mobility to access which does not contribute to the implementation of the AU Policy.

e) **Financial Implications**

The implementation of the Universal Access Policy does not have ring-fenced budget allocated as it was decided that the implementation of the policy should be part of the normal function of each department of the municipality. The financial implications are thus incorporated in the existing budget. This, however, makes the measuring and reporting of progress difficult.

It should be noted that National Treasury was tasked to by 2030:

- (a) Develop and implement funded Universal Design Access Plans
- (b) Incorporate universal design principles in procurement of goods, services and construction of infrastructure
- (c) To develop a disability equitable budgeting model
- (d) To align social assistance with the cost of disability

- (e) To incorporate the concept of universal design in infrastructure grants and tax rebate programmes
- (f) To develop a financing strategy to retrofit existing infrastructure

The above should provide guidance on what the municipal finance department can be expected to comply with in the future.

6.4 Legal Implications

Comment required from legal department

6.5 Staff Implications

None

6.6 Previous / Relevant Council Resolutions

40TH COUNCIL MEETING: 2016-04-26: ITEM 8. 1

RESOLVED (nem con)

that Council approve the Universal Access Policy Framework as per **APPENDIX 7** with incorporation of the comments submitted by the public.

6.7 Risk Implications

Should non/minimal/fragmented-implementation of the policy continue, the municipality runs the reputational risk of being in non-compliance to its own policies. This has already been highlighted through the annual memorandum handed over to the municipality during the Walk with Disability Campaign.

6.8 Comments from Senior Management

6.8.1 Director: Infrastructure Services

There is no objection to the latest version of the universal access policy.

6.8.2 Director: Planning and Economic Development

None received by due date.

6.8.3 Director: Community and Protection Services

Supports the item and policy.

6.8.4 Director: Strategic and Corporate Services

None received by the due date.

6.8.5 **Chief Financial Officer**

None received by the due date.

6.8.6 **Municipal Manager****ANNEXURES**

Annexure A: UNIVERSAL ACCESS POLICY REVIEWED (With track changes)

Annexure B: UNIVERSAL ACCESS POLICY REVIEWED (Without track changes for ease of reading.)

RECOMMENDATION FROM THE YOUTH, SPORTS AND CULTURE COMMITTEE TO THE EXECUTIVE MAYOR: 2020-09-03: ITEM 5.1.1

that the Revised Universal Access Policy be advertised for public participation.

FOR FURTHER DETAILS CONTACT:

NAME	Michelle Aalbers
POSITION	Manager Community Development
DIRECTORATE	Community and Protection Services
CONTACT NUMBERS	8408
E-MAIL ADDRESS	Michelle.aalbers@stellenbosch.gov.za
REPORT DATE	2019-12-23

5.2	DELEGATED MATTERS
------------	--------------------------

5.2.1	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MONTHLY REPORT: JULY 2020
--------------	--

Collaborator No:	690343
IDP KPA Ref No:	Dignified Living: Municipal Focus Area 21
File Plan:	8/1/4/2/3
Meeting Date:	3 September 2020

1. SUBJECT: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MONTHLY REPORT: JULY 2020

2. PURPOSE

To present to the Portfolio Committee the monthly report relating to the functioning and activities of the Department: Community Development for the period: July 2020.

3. DELEGATED AUTHORITY

For information to the Portfolio Committee and Municipal Manager.

4. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department: Community Development is responsible for service delivery to vulnerable groups. The monthly report accounts for the activities and programmes as implemented by the Community Development Department for the above-mentioned period.

YOUTH, SPORTS AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-09-03: ITEM 5.2.1

NOTED

the Community Development Monthly Report for July 2020.

FOR FURTHER DETAILS CONTACT:

NAME	Michelle Aalbers
POSITION	<i>Manager Community Development</i>
DIRECTORATE	<i>Planning and Economic Development</i>
CONTACT NUMBERS	8408
E-MAIL ADDRESS	<i>Michelle.aalbers@stellenbos.gov.za</i>
REPORT DATE	

5.2.2	MONTHLY REPORT: SPORT: FEBRUARY 2020
--------------	---

Collaborator No: 689728

IDP KPA Ref No:

Meeting Date: 3 September 2020

1. **SUBJECT: FEBRUARY 2020 MONTHLY REPORT: SPORT**
2. **PURPOSE**

To notify the Committee of the monthly activities (**ANNEXURE A**) that were conducted by the Sports Section for February 2020.

3. **DELEGATED AUTHORITY**

For notification by the Portfolio Committee.

4. **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

To present the monthly activity report of the Sports Section for the month of February 2020 to the Committee for notification.

YOUTH, SPORTS AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-09-03: ITEM 5.2.2

NOTED

the monthly reports of Sports for February 2020.

FOR FURTHER DETAILS CONTACT:

NAME	<i>Albert van der Merwe</i>
POSITION	<i>Manager: Community Services</i>
DIRECTORATE	<i>Community and Protection Services</i>
CONTACT NUMBERS	<i>Ext 8161</i>
E-MAIL ADDRESS	<i>albert.vandermerwe@stellenbosch.gov.za</i>
REPORT DATE	

5.2.3	MONTHLY REPORT: HALLS: FEBRUARY 2019
--------------	---

Collaborator No:

IDP KPA Ref No:

Meeting Date: 3 September 2020

1. SUBJECT: FEBRUARY 2020 MONTHLY REPORT: HALLS

2. PURPOSE

To notify the Committee of the monthly activities (**ANNEXURE A**) that were conducted by the Section: Halls for 2020.

3. DELEGATED AUTHORITY February

For notification by the Portfolio committee

4. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To present the monthly activity report of the Section: Halls for the month of February 2020 to the Committee for notification.

YOUTH, SPORTS AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-09-03: ITEM 5.2.3

NOTED

the monthly reports of Halls for February 2020.

FOR FURTHER DETAILS CONTACT:

NAME	<i>Albert van der Merwe</i>
POSITION	<i>Manager: Community Services</i>
DIRECTORATE	<i>Community and Protection Services</i>
CONTACT NUMBERS	<i>Ext 8161</i>
E-MAIL ADDRESS	<i>albert.vandermerwe@ Stellenbosch.gov.za</i>
REPORT DATE	

5.2.4	MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: JANUARY 2020
--------------	--

Collaborator No:

IDP KPA Ref No:

File Plan:

Meeting Date: 3 September 2020

1. SUBJECT: MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: JANUARY 2020

2. PURPOSE

To notify the Committee of the monthly activities (**ANNEXURE A**) that was conducted by the Sections: Libraries for January 2020.

3. DELEGATED AUTHORITY

For notification by the Portfolio committee

4. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To present the monthly activity report of the Sections: Libraries for the month of January 2020 to the Committee for notification.

YOUTH, SPORTS AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-09-03: ITEM 5.2.4

NOTED

the monthly reports by the Sections: Libraries for January 2020.

FOR FURTHER DETAILS CONTACT:

NAME	<i>Albert van der Merwe</i>
POSITION	<i>Manager: Community Services</i>
DIRECTORATE	<i>Community and Protection Services</i>
CONTACT NUMBERS	<i>Ext 8161</i>
E-MAIL ADDRESS	<i>albert.vandermerwe@stellenbosch.gov.za</i>
REPORT DATE	

5.2.5	MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: FEBRUARY 2020
--------------	---

Collaborator No:

IDP KPA Ref No:

File Plan:

Meeting Date: 3 September 2020

1. SUBJECT: MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: FEBRUARY 2020

2. PURPOSE

To notify the Committee of the monthly activities (**ANNEXURE A**) that was conducted by the Sections: Libraries for February 2020.

3. DELEGATED AUTHORITY

For notification by the Portfolio committee

YOUTH, SPORTS AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-09-03: ITEM 5.2.5

NOTED

the monthly reports by the Sections: Libraries for February 2020.

FOR FURTHER DETAILS CONTACT:

NAME	<i>Albert van der Merwe</i>
POSITION	<i>Manager: Community Services</i>
DIRECTORATE	<i>Community and Protection Services</i>
CONTACT NUMBERS	<i>Ext 8161</i>
E-MAIL ADDRESS	<i>albert.vandermerwe@stellenbosch.gov.za</i>
REPORT DATE	

5.2.6	MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: MARCH 2020
--------------	--

Collaborator No:

IDP KPA Ref No:

File Plan:

Meeting Date: 3 September 2020

1. SUBJECT: MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: MARCH 2020

2. PURPOSE

To notify the Committee of the monthly activities (**ANNEXURE A**) that was conducted by the Sections: Libraries for March 2020.

3. DELEGATED AUTHORITY

For notification by the Portfolio committee.

4. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To present the monthly activity report of the Sections: Libraries for the month of March 2020 to the Committee for notification.

YOUTH, SPORTS AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-09-03: ITEM 5.2.6

NOTED

the monthly reports by the Sections: Libraries for March 2020.

FOR FURTHER DETAILS CONTACT:

NAME	<i>Albert van der Merwe</i>
POSITION	<i>Manager: Community Services</i>
DIRECTORATE	<i>Community and Protection Services</i>
CONTACT NUMBERS	<i>Ext 8161</i>
E-MAIL ADDRESS	<i>albert.vandermerwe@stellenbosch.gov.za</i>
REPORT DATE	

5.2.7	MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: APRIL 2020
--------------	--

Collaborator No:

IDP KPA Ref No:

File Plan:

Meeting Date: 3 September 2020

1. SUBJECT: MONTHLY REPORT: LIBRARIES: APRIL 2020

2. PURPOSE

To notify the Committee of the monthly activities (**ANNEXURE A**) that was conducted by the Sections: Libraries for April 2020.

3. DELEGATED AUTHORITY

For notification by the Portfolio committee

4. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To present the monthly activity report of the Sections: Libraries for the month of April 2020 to the Committee for notification.

YOUTH, SPORTS AND CULTURE COMMITTEE MEETING: 2020-09-03: ITEM 5.2.7

NOTED

the monthly reports the Sections: Libraries for the month of April 2020.

FOR FURTHER DETAILS CONTACT:

NAME	<i>Albert van der Merwe</i>
POSITION	<i>Manager: Community Services</i>
DIRECTORATE	<i>Community and Protection Services</i>
CONTACT NUMBERS	<i>Ext 8161</i>
E-MAIL ADDRESS	<i>albert.vandermerwe@stellenbosch.gov.za</i>
REPORT DATE	

6.	REPORTS SUBMITTED BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER
-----------	---

NONE

7.	NOTICES OF MOTIONS AND NOTICES OF QUESTIONS RECEIVED BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER
-----------	--

NONE

8.	URGENT MATTERS
-----------	-----------------------

NONE

9.	MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED IN-COMMITTEE
-----------	--

NONE

The meeting adjourned at 10:40.

CHAIRPERSON:

DATE:

CONFIRMED ON